

Political Science 171: State Politics

Loren Collingwood

University of California

loren.collingwood@ucr.edu

May 16, 2017

In the News...

- https://www.nytimes.com/2017/05/15/health/texas-medicaid-planned-parenthood.html?hpaction=clickpgtype=HomepageclickSource=storyheadingmodule=first-column-regionregion=top-newsWT.nav=top-news_r=0
- <https://www.nytimes.com/2017/05/16/us/puerto-rico-statehood.html?hpwrrref=usaction=clickpgtype=Homepagemodule=regionregion=bottom-wellWT.nav=bottom-well>

Public Policy: Innovations and Diffusions

- States referred to as laboratories of democracy

Public Policy: Innovations and Diffusions

- States referred to as laboratories of democracy
- 1. States are potential *innovators* that can develop now and untested solutions to emerging societal problems

Public Policy: Innovations and Diffusions

- States referred to as laboratories of democracy
- 1. States are potential *innovators* that can develop now and untested solutions to emerging societal problems
 - If states (or citizens within those states) are dissatisfied with crime rate, pollution, water, education in their state they can (try to) do something about it

Public Policy: Innovations and Diffusions

- States referred to as laboratories of democracy
- 1. States are potential *innovators* that can develop now and untested solutions to emerging societal problems
 - If states (or citizens within those states) are dissatisfied with crime rate, pollution, water, education in their state they can (try to) do something about it
 - Policy solutions might be new or taken from other states

Public Policy: Innovations and Diffusions

- States referred to as laboratories of democracy
- 1. States are potential *innovators* that can develop now and untested solutions to emerging societal problems
 - If states (or citizens within those states) are dissatisfied with crime rate, pollution, water, education in their state they can (try to) do something about it
 - Policy solutions might be new or taken from other states
- Policy innovations can *diffuse* or spread from one jurisdiction to another

Public Policy: Innovations and Diffusions

- States referred to as laboratories of democracy
- 1. States are potential *innovators* that can develop now and untested solutions to emerging societal problems
 - If states (or citizens within those states) are dissatisfied with crime rate, pollution, water, education in their state they can (try to) do something about it
 - Policy solutions might be new or taken from other states
- Policy innovations can *diffuse* or spread from one jurisdiction to another
- If policies in one state appear to work – both programmatically and politically – then other states may well adopt the same policies

Public Policy: Innovations and Diffusions

- States referred to as laboratories of democracy
- 1. States are potential *innovators* that can develop now and untested solutions to emerging societal problems
 - If states (or citizens within those states) are dissatisfied with crime rate, pollution, water, education in their state they can (try to) do something about it
 - Policy solutions might be new or taken from other states
- Policy innovations can *diffuse* or spread from one jurisdiction to another
- If policies in one state appear to work – both programmatically and politically – then other states may well adopt the same policies
- Diffusion – process of learning or emulation during which decision makers look to other states as models to be followed or avoided

Innovativeness

- Are some states more innovative than others? How do scholars think about innovativeness

Innovativeness

- Are some states more innovative than others? How do scholars think about innovativeness
- Measurement typically is based on the speed with which state officials adopted a set of programs

Innovativeness

- Are some states more innovative than others? How do scholars think about innovativeness
- Measurement typically is based on the speed with which state officials adopted a set of programs
- Incorporate a large number of policy innovations, examining variation across policy types

Innovativeness

- Are some states more innovative than others? How do scholars think about innovativeness
- Measurement typically is based on the speed with which state officials adopted a set of programs
- Incorporate a large number of policy innovations, examining variation across policy types
- Indices are developed from the analysis of all these different policy types

Innovativeness

- What drives policy innovation?:

Innovativeness

- What drives policy innovation?:
 - Slack resources – resources are relatively available, plentiful within the state

Innovativeness

- What drives policy innovation?:
 - Slack resources – resources are relatively available, plentiful within the state
 - Allow lawmakers to experiment with innovative programs because policy failures will not be fatal

Innovativeness

- What drives policy innovation?:
 - Slack resources – resources are relatively available, plentiful within the state
 - Allow lawmakers to experiment with innovative programs because policy failures will not be fatal
 - Lawmakers who have no slack (scarce resources) may guard their limited resources and not innovate instead staying with what they know

Innovativeness

- What drives policy innovation?:
 - Slack resources – resources are relatively available, plentiful within the state
 - Allow lawmakers to experiment with innovative programs because policy failures will not be fatal
 - Lawmakers who have no slack (scarce resources) may guard their limited resources and not innovate instead staying with what they know
- Indicators of slack: 1) Fiscal Strength; 2) Legislative professionalism – both facilitate the early adoption of policy innovations

Innovativeness

- Innovativeness linked to various demographic and political factors:

Innovativeness

- Innovativeness linked to various demographic and political factors:
 - State size (population) – often viewed as indicator of resource availability

Innovativeness

- Innovativeness linked to various demographic and political factors:
 - State size (population) – often viewed as indicator of resource availability
 - State urbanization

Innovativeness

- Innovativeness linked to various demographic and political factors:
 - State size (population) – often viewed as indicator of resource availability
 - State urbanization
 - Levels of education

Innovativeness

- Innovativeness linked to various demographic and political factors:
 - State size (population) – often viewed as indicator of resource availability
 - State urbanization
 - Levels of education
 - States with higher levels of political competition – makes lawmakers more responsive to citizens

Innovativeness

- Innovativeness linked to various demographic and political factors:
 - State size (population) – often viewed as indicator of resource availability
 - State urbanization
 - Levels of education
 - States with higher levels of political competition – makes lawmakers more responsive to citizens
 - Liberal states display general openness to policy change/activity; conservative states tend to be less receptive to changes in status quo

Diffusion

- Multiple reasons why we see diffusion. But, states are usually more likely to copy bigger states/more important states, or perhaps states that look like their state, or that are close by

Diffusion

- Multiple reasons why we see diffusion. But, states are usually more likely to copy bigger states/more important states, or perhaps states that look like their state, or that are close by
- Most studies assume policies in nearby states provide model upon which officials can draw – this model makes policy adoption more likely

Diffusion

- Multiple reasons why we see diffusion. But, states are usually more likely to copy bigger states/more important states, or perhaps states that look like their state, or that are close by
- Most studies assume policies in nearby states provide model upon which officials can draw – this model makes policy adoption more likely
- Scholars tend to look at this by examining how many neighboring states have the same policy; or how many states in a particular region have the same policy.

Diffusion

- Multiple reasons why we see diffusion. But, states are usually more likely to copy bigger states/more important states, or perhaps states that look like their state, or that are close by
- Most studies assume policies in nearby states provide model upon which officials can draw – this model makes policy adoption more likely
- Scholars tend to look at this by examining how many neighboring states have the same policy; or how many states in a particular region have the same policy.
- Geographic Proximity

Diffusion

- Multiple reasons why we see diffusion. But, states are usually more likely to copy bigger states/more important states, or perhaps states that look like their state, or that are close by
- Most studies assume policies in nearby states provide model upon which officials can draw – this model makes policy adoption more likely
- Scholars tend to look at this by examining how many neighboring states have the same policy; or how many states in a particular region have the same policy.
- Geographic Proximity
 - Lawmakers in nearby states might be more likely to know one another; discuss policies with one another – enabling programs in both states – since they may face similar problems

Diffusion

- Multiple reasons why we see diffusion. But, states are usually more likely to copy bigger states/more important states, or perhaps states that look like their state, or that are close by
- Most studies assume policies in nearby states provide model upon which officials can draw – this model makes policy adoption more likely
- Scholars tend to look at this by examining how many neighboring states have the same policy; or how many states in a particular region have the same policy.
- Geographic Proximity
 - Lawmakers in nearby states might be more likely to know one another; discuss policies with one another – enabling programs in both states – since they may face similar problems
 - Overlapping media markets – can alert citizens in one state of policy innovations in another state


Diffusion

- Multiple reasons why we see diffusion. But, states are usually more likely to copy bigger states/more important states, or perhaps states that look like their state, or that are close by
- Most studies assume policies in nearby states provide model upon which officials can draw – this model makes policy adoption more likely
- Scholars tend to look at this by examining how many neighboring states have the same policy; or how many states in a particular region have the same policy.
- Geographic Proximity
 - Lawmakers in nearby states might be more likely to know one another; discuss policies with one another – enabling programs in both states – since they may face similar problems
 - Overlapping media markets – can alert citizens in one state of policy innovations in another state
 - E.G. – Boston Media – MA, ME, NH, RI

Diffusion

- Multiple reasons why we see diffusion. But, states are usually more likely to copy bigger states/more important states, or perhaps states that look like their state, or that are close by
- Most studies assume policies in nearby states provide model upon which officials can draw – this model makes policy adoption more likely
- Scholars tend to look at this by examining how many neighboring states have the same policy; or how many states in a particular region have the same policy.
- Geographic Proximity
 - Lawmakers in nearby states might be more likely to know one another; discuss policies with one another – enabling programs in both states – since they may face similar problems
 - Overlapping media markets – can alert citizens in one state of policy innovations in another state
 - E.G. – Boston Media – MA, ME, NH, RI
 - Nearby states are likely to be culturally/demographically similar

Boston Media Market



Percent Black by State – Top States

By 2010 census results [\[edit\]](#)

Rank ↕	State ↕	African-American Alone Population (2010) ^[1] ↕	% African-American ↕
1	Mississippi	1,074,200	37.30%
2	Louisiana	1,452,396	31.98%
3	Georgia	3,150,435	31.4%
4	Maryland	1,700,298	29.44%
5	South Carolina	1,290,684	28.48%
6	Alabama	1,251,311	26.38%
7	North Carolina	2,048,628	21.60%
8	Delaware	191,814	20.95%
9	Virginia	1,551,399	19.91%
10	Tennessee	1,055,689	16.78%
11	Florida	2,999,862	15.91%
12	Arkansas	449,895	15.76%
13	New York	3,073,800	15.18%

Diffusion

- This geographic argument of diffusion has been criticized, however

Diffusion

- This geographic argument of diffusion has been criticized, however
- Modern era, with technological innovation, new lines of communication extend beyond regional boundaries

Diffusion

- This geographic argument of diffusion has been criticized, however
- Modern era, with technological innovation, new lines of communication extend beyond regional boundaries
- State legislators attend conferences and meet people from all over the U.S.

Diffusion

- This geographic argument of diffusion has been criticized, however
- Modern era, with technological innovation, new lines of communication extend beyond regional boundaries
- State legislators attend conferences and meet people from all over the U.S.
- Diffusion now may be more of a national process

Diffusion

- States also may adopt diffusion due to reasons of interstate competition

Diffusion

- States also may adopt diffusion due to reasons of interstate competition
- State officials may believe that failing to adopt the new policy will put their state at a competitive disadvantage

Diffusion

- States also may adopt diffusion due to reasons of interstate competition
- State officials may believe that failing to adopt the new policy will put their state at a competitive disadvantage
- May feel pressure to keep up with their (out-of-state) colleagues – oh look, Idaho is so backwards, haha.

Diffusion

- States also may adopt diffusion due to reasons of interstate competition
- State officials may believe that failing to adopt the new policy will put their state at a competitive disadvantage
- May feel pressure to keep up with their (out-of-state) colleagues – oh look, Idaho is so backwards, haha.
- Interstate competition is mostly driven by economic development – policies concerning it thus are mostly concerned with enhancing economic/business climate in state

Diffusion

- States also may adopt diffusion due to reasons of interstate competition
- State officials may believe that failing to adopt the new policy will put their state at a competitive disadvantage
- May feel pressure to keep up with their (out-of-state) colleagues – oh look, Idaho is so backwards, haha.
- Interstate competition is mostly driven by economic development – policies concerning it thus are mostly concerned with enhancing economic/business climate in state
- States may lower taxes to increase businesses to the state

Diffusion

- States also may adopt diffusion due to reasons of interstate competition
- State officials may believe that failing to adopt the new policy will put their state at a competitive disadvantage
- May feel pressure to keep up with their (out-of-state) colleagues – oh look, Idaho is so backwards, haha.
- Interstate competition is mostly driven by economic development – policies concerning it thus are mostly concerned with enhancing economic/business climate in state
- States may lower taxes to increase businesses to the state
- Or states may lower welfare benefits to decrease undesirables.
Or develop anti-homeless policies.

Diffusion – A few specific issues

- Lotteries – where you buy a ticket and randomly win a few millions

Diffusion – A few specific issues

- Lotteries – where you buy a ticket and randomly win a few millions
- State lawmakers appear to be influenced by existence of lotteries in nearby states because they lose out on potential revenue – people from their state may go by lottery ticket elsewhere

Diffusion – A few specific issues

- Lotteries – where you buy a ticket and randomly win a few millions
- State lawmakers appear to be influenced by existence of lotteries in nearby states because they lose out on potential revenue – people from their state may go by lottery ticket elsewhere
- Indian gaming compacts

Diffusion – A few specific issues

- Lotteries – where you buy a ticket and randomly win a few millions
- State lawmakers appear to be influenced by existence of lotteries in nearby states because they lose out on potential revenue – people from their state may go by lottery ticket elsewhere
- Indian gaming compacts
- Anti-smoking regulations

Diffusion – Imitation

- Diffusion may also be driven by imitation – state officials engage in national search for policy models (i.e., no need to reinvent the wheel)

Diffusion – Imitation

- Diffusion may also be driven by imitation – state officials engage in national search for policy models (i.e., no need to reinvent the wheel)
- Imitation occurs when decision makers adopt innovations so their jurisdictions/states are viewed as favorably as the state that originated the policy

Diffusion – Imitation

- Diffusion may also be driven by imitation – state officials engage in national search for policy models (i.e., no need to reinvent the wheel)
- Imitation occurs when decision makers adopt innovations so their jurisdictions/states are viewed as favorably as the state that originated the policy
- Officials believe they share a policy-relevant characteristic with an early adopter

Diffusion – Imitation

- Diffusion may also be driven by imitation – state officials engage in national search for policy models (i.e., no need to reinvent the wheel)
- Imitation occurs when decision makers adopt innovations so their jurisdictions/states are viewed as favorably as the state that originated the policy
- Officials believe they share a policy-relevant characteristic with an early adopter
- “Closeness” then can be political, demographic, or economic – ideological and resource similarities have been linked to the diffusion process

Diffusion – Imitation

- Diffusion may also be driven by imitation – state officials engage in national search for policy models (i.e., no need to reinvent the wheel)
- Imitation occurs when decision makers adopt innovations so their jurisdictions/states are viewed as favorably as the state that originated the policy
- Officials believe they share a policy-relevant characteristic with an early adopter
- “Closeness” then can be political, demographic, or economic – ideological and resource similarities have been linked to the diffusion process
- Policy innovations spread because lawmakers imitate their colleagues who operate in similar environments

Diffusion

- Policies can diffuse because state officials view existing versions as a success

Diffusion

- Policies can diffuse because state officials view existing versions as a success
- Lawmakers now believe they should adopt a policy because it will allow them to fulfill a substantive objective

Diffusion

- Policies can diffuse because state officials view existing versions as a success
- Lawmakers now believe they should adopt a policy because it will allow them to fulfill a substantive objective
- Adoption is based on success of policy – not because other states look like their states

Diffusion

- Policies can diffuse because state officials view existing versions as a success
- Lawmakers now believe they should adopt a policy because it will allow them to fulfill a substantive objective
- Adoption is based on success of policy – not because other states look like their states
- This is known as emulation

Diffusion

- Policies can diffuse because state officials view existing versions as a success
- Lawmakers now believe they should adopt a policy because it will allow them to fulfill a substantive objective
- Adoption is based on success of policy – not because other states look like their states
- This is known as emulation
- Also assumes states will not adopt policies that are poor innovations

Diffusion Mechanisms

- National gov't can simultaneously affect policymaking in multiple states – thus is a potent diffusion mechanism

Diffusion Mechanisms

- National gov't can simultaneously affect policymaking in multiple states – thus is a potent diffusion mechanism
- Can influence state political agendas – and whether state policymakers decide to adopt a specific innovation

Diffusion Mechanisms

- National gov't can simultaneously affect policymaking in multiple states – thus is a potent diffusion mechanism
- Can influence state political agendas – and whether state policymakers decide to adopt a specific innovation
- State officials are often pressed for time and have limited resources – so often turn to national gov't as potential problem

Diffusion Mechanisms

- National gov't can simultaneously affect policymaking in multiple states – thus is a potent diffusion mechanism
- Can influence state political agendas – and whether state policymakers decide to adopt a specific innovation
- State officials are often pressed for time and have limited resources – so often turn to national gov't as potential problem
- National gov't has financial tools – incentives – that are direct and powerful – think insurance exchanges and Obamacare

Diffusion Mechanisms

- National gov't can simultaneously affect policymaking in multiple states – thus is a potent diffusion mechanism
- Can influence state political agendas – and whether state policymakers decide to adopt a specific innovation
- State officials are often pressed for time and have limited resources – so often turn to national gov't as potential problem
- National gov't has financial tools – incentives – that are direct and powerful – think insurance exchanges and Obamacare
- Policy entrepreneurs (elected officials, private citizens, agency officials, etc.) – facilitate policy diffusion because they operate in multiple states

Diffusion Mechanisms

- National gov't can simultaneously affect policymaking in multiple states – thus is a potent diffusion mechanism
- Can influence state political agendas – and whether state policymakers decide to adopt a specific innovation
- State officials are often pressed for time and have limited resources – so often turn to national gov't as potential problem
- National gov't has financial tools – incentives – that are direct and powerful – think insurance exchanges and Obamacare
- Policy entrepreneurs (elected officials, private citizens, agency officials, etc.) – facilitate policy diffusion because they operate in multiple states
- They network across state lines – thus they know about policies and their effectiveness – elsewhere
- National organizations (e.g., Sierra Club, Human Rights Campaign, etc.), but also National Conference of State Legislatures

Diffusion Mechanisms

- National gov't can simultaneously affect policymaking in multiple states – thus is a potent diffusion mechanism
- Can influence state political agendas – and whether state policymakers decide to adopt a specific innovation
- State officials are often pressed for time and have limited resources – so often turn to national gov't as potential problem
- National gov't has financial tools – incentives – that are direct and powerful – think insurance exchanges and Obamacare
- Policy entrepreneurs (elected officials, private citizens, agency officials, etc.) – facilitate policy diffusion because they operate in multiple states
- They network across state lines – thus they know about policies and their effectiveness – elsewhere
- National organizations (e.g., Sierra Club, Human Rights Campaign, etc.), but also National Conference of State Legislatures(NCSL)

Policy Processes in the States

- At any given time, multitude of social conditions, such as poverty, drug abuse, environmental degradation, slow economic growth, that could merit attention of state political leaders

Policy Processes in the States

- At any given time, multitude of social conditions, such as poverty, drug abuse, environmental degradation, slow economic growth, that could merit attention of state political leaders
- But only a few issues at a time are actively under consideration for major policy change

Policy Processes in the States

- At any given time, multitude of social conditions, such as poverty, drug abuse, environmental degradation, slow economic growth, that could merit attention of state political leaders
- But only a few issues at a time are actively under consideration for major policy change
- Reason: Time constraints, resource constraints, information constraints

Policy Processes in the States

- At any given time, multitude of social conditions, such as poverty, drug abuse, environmental degradation, slow economic growth, that could merit attention of state political leaders
- But only a few issues at a time are actively under consideration for major policy change
- Reason: Time constraints, resource constraints, information constraints
- Most state legislatures in most states meet for only a few months a year

Policy Processes in the States

- At any given time, multitude of social conditions, such as poverty, drug abuse, environmental degradation, slow economic growth, that could merit attention of state political leaders
- But only a few issues at a time are actively under consideration for major policy change
- Reason: Time constraints, resource constraints, information constraints
- Most state legislatures in most states meet for only a few months a year
- Most legislators do not serve full-time

Policy Processes in the States

- At any given time, multitude of social conditions, such as poverty, drug abuse, environmental degradation, slow economic growth, that could merit attention of state political leaders
- But only a few issues at a time are actively under consideration for major policy change
- Reason: Time constraints, resource constraints, information constraints
- Most state legislatures in most states meet for only a few months a year
- Most legislators do not serve full-time
- Legislative staff is fairly limited in most states

Policy Processes in the States

- At any given time, multitude of social conditions, such as poverty, drug abuse, environmental degradation, slow economic growth, that could merit attention of state political leaders
- But only a few issues at a time are actively under consideration for major policy change
- Reason: Time constraints, resource constraints, information constraints
- Most state legislatures in most states meet for only a few months a year
- Most legislators do not serve full-time
- Legislative staff is fairly limited in most states
- Governors have more time/staff, but typically far less support than the president, while facing similar domestic problems (economy, roads, health care, crime)

Policy Processes in the States

- At any given time, multitude of social conditions, such as poverty, drug abuse, environmental degradation, slow economic growth, that could merit attention of state political leaders
- But only a few issues at a time are actively under consideration for major policy change
- Reason: Time constraints, resource constraints, information constraints
- Most state legislatures in most states meet for only a few months a year
- Most legislators do not serve full-time
- Legislative staff is fairly limited in most states
- Governors have more time/staff, but typically far less support than the president, while facing similar domestic problems (economy, roads, health care, crime)
- Voters have very limited choices through the ballot process

Theories of Policy Process

- Institutional Analysis and Development

Theories of Policy Process

- Institutional Analysis and Development
- Multiple Policy Streams

Theories of Policy Process

- Institutional Analysis and Development
- Multiple Policy Streams
- Advocacy Coalition Framework

Theories of Policy Process

- Institutional Analysis and Development
- Multiple Policy Streams
- Advocacy Coalition Framework
- Punctuated Equilibrium

Theories of Policy Process

- Institutional Analysis and Development
- Multiple Policy Streams
- Advocacy Coalition Framework
- Punctuated Equilibrium
- Most theories are tested in national politics, but still quite relevant to state-level politics

Institutional Analysis and Development

- How institutional arrangements incentivize human behavior

Institutional Analysis and Development

- How institutional arrangements incentivize human behavior
- Collective choice problems: individual incentives for behavior are not in alignment with optimal social outcomes

Institutional Analysis and Development

- How institutional arrangements incentivize human behavior
- Collective choice problems: individual incentives for behavior are not in alignment with optimal social outcomes
- Common pool resource problem: a resource (road, air) difficult to exclude anyone from using – consequently things become overused, polluted, congested – unless collective action is taken

Institutional Analysis and Development

- How institutional arrangements incentivize human behavior
- Collective choice problems: individual incentives for behavior are not in alignment with optimal social outcomes
- Common pool resource problem: a resource (road, air) difficult to exclude anyone from using – consequently things become overused, polluted, congested – unless collective action is taken
- Example: Fishing and oceans – anyone with a boat can fish, in your incentive to get as much fish as possible, but if everyone does it, guess what, no more fishes!

Institutional Analysis and Development

- How institutional arrangements incentivize human behavior
- Collective choice problems: individual incentives for behavior are not in alignment with optimal social outcomes
- Common pool resource problem: a resource (road, air) difficult to exclude anyone from using – consequently things become overused, polluted, congested – unless collective action is taken
- Example: Fishing and oceans – anyone with a boat can fish, in your incentive to get as much fish as possible, but if everyone does it, guess what, no more fishes!
- Governance structures can be established to overcome the common pool problem – institutions help shift individual incentives away from noncooperative, suboptimal equilibria into more optimal outcomes with greater collective benefits

Multiple Policy Streams

- Three separate streams influencing the policy-making process

Multiple Policy Streams

- Three separate streams influencing the policy-making process
 - The problems stream – includes various social conditions seen by at least some political actors as problematic and needing coordinated collective action

Multiple Policy Streams

- Three separate streams influencing the policy-making process
 - The problems stream – includes various social conditions seen by at least some political actors as problematic and needing coordinated collective action
 - The politics stream – The public mood, interest group environment, campaigns and elections, partisan control of institutions

Multiple Policy Streams

- Three separate streams influencing the policy-making process
 - The problems stream – includes various social conditions seen by at least some political actors as problematic and needing coordinated collective action
 - The politics stream – The public mood, interest group environment, campaigns and elections, partisan control of institutions
 - The policy stream – Political entrepreneurs advocate solutions for dealing with various social conditions

Multiple Policy Streams

- Three separate streams influencing the policy-making process
 - The problems stream – includes various social conditions seen by at least some political actors as problematic and needing coordinated collective action
 - The politics stream – The public mood, interest group environment, campaigns and elections, partisan control of institutions
 - The policy stream – Political entrepreneurs advocate solutions for dealing with various social conditions
- Sometimes, a window of opportunity opens in which the three streams interact to form new policies; but also occur somewhat randomly

Multiple Policy Streams

- Three separate streams influencing the policy-making process
 - The problems stream – includes various social conditions seen by at least some political actors as problematic and needing coordinated collective action
 - The politics stream – The public mood, interest group environment, campaigns and elections, partisan control of institutions
 - The policy stream – Political entrepreneurs advocate solutions for dealing with various social conditions
- Sometimes, a window of opportunity opens in which the three streams interact to form new policies; but also occur somewhat randomly
- Builds on, yes, Garbage Can Theory – which assumes high level of ambiguity in a dynamic process with changing players, poorly defined problems, unclear goals, and uncertainty about how inputs leads to policy outputs...great

Multiple Policy Streams

- “Coupling” – Political entrepreneurs, from inside or outside of gov’t, help draw attention to particular set of social problems and pull streams together

Multiple Policy Streams

- “Coupling” – Political entrepreneurs, from inside or outside of gov’t, help draw attention to particular set of social problems and pull streams together
- Entrepreneur made be elected official (governor, powerful state legislator), agency director, or interest group leader

Multiple Policy Streams

- “Coupling” – Political entrepreneurs, from inside or outside of gov’t, help draw attention to particular set of social problems and pull streams together
- Entrepreneur made be elected official (governor, powerful state legislator), agency director, or interest group leader
- Public mood, partisan control of political institutions, and budgetary conditions may limit which solutions are feasible; but policy choice that emerges can be random

Multiple Policy Streams

- “Coupling” – Political entrepreneurs, from inside or outside of gov’t, help draw attention to particular set of social problems and pull streams together
- Entrepreneur made be elected official (governor, powerful state legislator), agency director, or interest group leader
- Public mood, partisan control of political institutions, and budgetary conditions may limit which solutions are feasible; but policy choice that emerges can be random
- Policy outputs therefore may not be rational or a product of institutional design

Multiple Policy Streams

- “Coupling” – Political entrepreneurs, from inside or outside of gov’t, help draw attention to particular set of social problems and pull streams together
- Entrepreneur made be elected official (governor, powerful state legislator), agency director, or interest group leader
- Public mood, partisan control of political institutions, and budgetary conditions may limit which solutions are feasible; but policy choice that emerges can be random
- Policy outputs therefore may not be rational or a product of institutional design
- Problem: this approach does not explain when a policy window will open

Multiple Policy Streams

- “Coupling” – Political entrepreneurs, from inside or outside of gov’t, help draw attention to particular set of social problems and pull streams together
- Entrepreneur made be elected official (governor, powerful state legislator), agency director, or interest group leader
- Public mood, partisan control of political institutions, and budgetary conditions may limit which solutions are feasible; but policy choice that emerges can be random
- Policy outputs therefore may not be rational or a product of institutional design
- Problem: this approach does not explain when a policy window will open
- Problem: There seems to be too much randomness to the model

Advocacy Coalition Framework

- Assumes that policy is incredibly complex

Advocacy Coalition Framework

- Assumes that policy is incredibly complex
- Interested participants must specialize to have any impact on policy

Advocacy Coalition Framework

- Assumes that policy is incredibly complex
- Interested participants must specialize to have any impact on policy
- A variety of players with strong beliefs about policy are involved

Advocacy Coalition Framework

- Assumes that policy is incredibly complex
- Interested participants must specialize to have any impact on policy
- A variety of players with strong beliefs about policy are involved
- Best to examine policy change over a long period of time, such as a decade or more

Advocacy Coalition Framework

- Assumes that policy is incredibly complex
- Interested participants must specialize to have any impact on policy
- A variety of players with strong beliefs about policy are involved
- Best to examine policy change over a long period of time, such as a decade or more
- Policy experts working in policy-specific subsystems (i.e., water, forest management, health care) form coalitions; coalitions form around system of core beliefs about nature of problems

Advocacy Coalition Framework

- Assumes that policy is incredibly complex
- Interested participants must specialize to have any impact on policy
- A variety of players with strong beliefs about policy are involved
- Best to examine policy change over a long period of time, such as a decade or more
- Policy experts working in policy-specific subsystems (i.e., water, forest management, health care) form coalitions; coalitions form around system of core beliefs about nature of problems
- Thus, most policymaking is basically a negotiation among advocates within the policy subsystem

Advocacy Coalition Framework

- Assumes that policy is incredibly complex
- Interested participants must specialize to have any impact on policy
- A variety of players with strong beliefs about policy are involved
- Best to examine policy change over a long period of time, such as a decade or more
- Policy experts working in policy-specific subsystems (i.e., water, forest management, health care) form coalitions; coalitions form around system of core beliefs about nature of problems
- Thus, most policymaking is basically a negotiation among advocates within the policy subsystem
- However, assumes dynamic changes in partisan control of institutions, societal structures, and intrusions from other policy subsystems can contribute to major policy changes over time

Punctuated Equilibrium

- Relies on two basic observations about public policy:

Punctuated Equilibrium

- Relies on two basic observations about public policy:
 - Often long periods of stasis in which policy changes very little

Punctuated Equilibrium

- Relies on two basic observations about public policy:
 - Often long periods of stasis in which policy changes very little
 - But periods of calm can be interrupted and followed by abrupt and sometimes radical change in a short period of time

Punctuated Equilibrium

- Relies on two basic observations about public policy:
 - Often long periods of stasis in which policy changes very little
 - But periods of calm can be interrupted and followed by abrupt and sometimes radical change in a short period of time
- Stasis occurs based on theory of incrementalism – policymakers engage in succession of limited searches that result in minor tweaks of policy based on limited set of alternatives under consideration

Punctuated Equilibrium

- Relies on two basic observations about public policy:
 - Often long periods of stasis in which policy changes very little
 - But periods of calm can be interrupted and followed by abrupt and sometimes radical change in a short period of time
- Stasis occurs based on theory of incrementalism – policymakers engage in succession of limited searches that result in minor tweaks of policy based on limited set of alternatives under consideration
- Budget – the budget one sees today is probably best predictor of what budget will look like next year

Punctuated Equilibrium

- Relies on two basic observations about public policy:
 - Often long periods of stasis in which policy changes very little
 - But periods of calm can be interrupted and followed by abrupt and sometimes radical change in a short period of time
- Stasis occurs based on theory of incrementalism – policymakers engage in succession of limited searches that result in minor tweaks of policy based on limited set of alternatives under consideration
- Budget – the budget one sees today is probably best predictor of what budget will look like next year
- Environmental pressures build up such that bigger change can occur – or external shocks to the system (natural disaster, industrial accident, stock market crash covered widely in media) can be “focusing events” leading to sudden policy change