

# Mass Incarceration

- More than 2 million people find themselves behind bars at turn of 21st century

# Mass Incarceration

- More than 2 million people find themselves behind bars at turn of 21st century
- Millions more relegated to margins of society; banished to political/social space not unlike Jim Crow

# Mass Incarceration

- More than 2 million people find themselves behind bars at turn of 21st century
- Millions more relegated to margins of society; banished to political/social space not unlike Jim Crow
- Discrimination in employment, housing, and access to education is perfectly legal; and could be denied right to vote

# Mass Incarceration

- More than 2 million people find themselves behind bars at turn of 21st century
- Millions more relegated to margins of society; banished to political/social space not unlike Jim Crow
- Discrimination in employment, housing, and access to education is perfectly legal; and could be denied right to vote
- In many states, 90% of those admitted to prison for drug offenses were black or Latino; yet this was all explained in race-neutral language.

# Mass Incarceration: 4th Amendment

- Few legal rules meaningful constrain war on drugs

## Mass Incarceration: 4th Amendment

- Few legal rules meaningful constrain war on drugs
- No significant constrains on exercise of police discretion

## Mass Incarceration: 4th Amendment

- Few legal rules meaningful constrain war on drugs
- No significant constrains on exercise of police discretion
- This discretion has made the roundup of nonviolent drug offenses relatively easy

## Mass Incarceration: 4th Amendment

- Few legal rules meaningful constrain war on drugs
- No significant constrains on exercise of police discretion
- This discretion has made the roundup of nonviolent drug offenses relatively easy
- Supreme Court is largely complicit. It has mostly eviscerated 4th Amendment protections against unreasonable searches and seizures by police



## Mass Incarceration: 4th Amendment

- Few legal rules meaningful constrain war on drugs
- No significant constrains on exercise of police discretion
- This discretion has made the roundup of nonviolent drug offenses relatively easy
- Supreme Court is largely complicit. It has mostly eviscerated 4th Amendment protections against unreasonable searches and seizures by police
- 4th Amendment: protection against unreasonable searches and seizures.

## Mass Incarceration: Unreasonable Suspicion

- It used to be that police could not stop and search someone without a warrant unless probable cause

## Mass Incarceration: Unreasonable Suspicion

- It used to be that police could not stop and search someone without a warrant unless probable cause
- *Terry v Ohio*: Police officer observes unusual conduct by someone reasonably thought to be dangerous, officer can conduct limited search. Known as **Stop and Frisk**.
- In years since *Terry*, searches, stops, interrogation of ordinary people have become commonplace

## Mass Incarceration: Unreasonable Suspicion

- It used to be that police could not stop and search someone without a warrant unless probable cause
- *Terry v Ohio*: Police officer observes unusual conduct by someone reasonably thought to be dangerous, officer can conduct limited search. Known as **Stop and Frisk**.
- In years since *Terry*, searches, stops, interrogation of ordinary people have become commonplace
- *Florida v. Bostick*: Request for “consent” to search passenger’s luggage; but officers almost always are able to make the search

## Mass Incarceration: Unreasonable Suspicion

- It used to be that police could not stop and search someone without a warrant unless probable cause
- *Terry v Ohio*: Police officer observes unusual conduct by someone reasonably thought to be dangerous, officer can conduct limited search. Known as **Stop and Frisk**.
- In years since *Terry*, searches, stops, interrogation of ordinary people have become commonplace
- *Florida v. Bostick*: Request for “consent” to search passenger’s luggage; but officers almost always are able to make the search
- SCOTUS ruled that Bostick’s encounter with police was purely voluntary, and therefore he was not “seized” within meaning of 4th Amendment
- These are basically called “consent searches”. “Will you put your arms up and stand against the wall for a search?” Who is not going to comply with that?

## Mass Incarceration: Pays to Play

- Number of annual drug arrests more than tripled between 1980 - 2005, as drug sweeps and suspicionless stops and searches proceeded in record numbers

## Mass Incarceration: Pays to Play

- Number of annual drug arrests more than tripled between 1980 - 2005, as drug sweeps and suspicionless stops and searches proceeded in record numbers
- Why did the police choose to arrest such an astonishing percentage of the American public for minor drug crimes

## Mass Incarceration: Pays to Play

- Number of annual drug arrests more than tripled between 1980 - 2005, as drug sweeps and suspicionless stops and searches proceeded in record numbers
- Why did the police choose to arrest such an astonishing percentage of the American public for minor drug crimes
- Why would police prioritize War on Drugs?



## Mass Incarceration: Pays to Play

- Number of annual drug arrests more than tripled between 1980 - 2005, as drug sweeps and suspicionless stops and searches proceeded in record numbers
- Why did the police choose to arrest such an astonishing percentage of the American public for minor drug crimes
- Why would police prioritize War on Drugs?
- Note, at time drug war declared, illegal drug use and abuse was not a pressing concern in most communities

## Mass Incarceration: Pays to Play

- Number of annual drug arrests more than tripled between 1980 - 2005, as drug sweeps and suspicionless stops and searches proceeded in record numbers
- Why did the police choose to arrest such an astonishing percentage of the American public for minor drug crimes
- Why would police prioritize War on Drugs?
- Note, at time drug war declared, illegal drug use and abuse was not a pressing concern in most communities
- Many state and local law enforcement officials were not pleased by attempt of Fed Gov to assert itself in local crime fighting

## Mass Incarceration: Pays to Play

- Number of annual drug arrests more than tripled between 1980 - 2005, as drug sweeps and suspicionless stops and searches proceeded in record numbers
- Why did the police choose to arrest such an astonishing percentage of the American public for minor drug crimes
- Why would police prioritize War on Drugs?
- Note, at time drug war declared, illegal drug use and abuse was not a pressing concern in most communities
- Many state and local law enforcement officials were not pleased by attempt of Fed Gov to assert itself in local crime fighting
- In 1988, behest of Reagan administration, Congress revised program that provides federal aid to law enforcement, renaming Edward Byrne Memorial State and Local Law Enforcement Assistance Program.

## Mass Incarceration: Pays to Play

- The Byrne program was designed to encourage every federal grant recipient to help fight War on Drugs.

## Mass Incarceration: Pays to Play

- The Byrne program was designed to encourage every federal grant recipient to help fight War on Drugs.
- Millions of dollars in federal aid have been offered to state/local law enforcement agencies: proliferation of SWAT teams, narcotics task forces

## Mass Incarceration: Pays to Play

- The Byrne program was designed to encourage every federal grant recipient to help fight War on Drugs.
- Millions of dollars in federal aid have been offered to state/local law enforcement agencies: proliferation of SWAT teams, narcotics task forces
- Free military equipment; militarization of police force

## Mass Incarceration: Pays to Play

- The Byrne program was designed to encourage every federal grant recipient to help fight War on Drugs.
- Millions of dollars in federal aid have been offered to state/local law enforcement agencies: proliferation of SWAT teams, narcotics task forces
- Free military equipment; militarization of police force
- Reagan administration granted state/local law enforcement agencies authority to keep, for their own use, the vast majority of cash and assets they seize when waging drug war